## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re: St. Jude Medical, Inc. File No. 01-MD-1396 Silzone Heart Valves Products Liability Litigation

(JRT/FLN)

Minneapolis, Minnesota November 9, 2009

10:13 A.M.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN R. TUNHEIM UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## (STATUS CONFERENCE VIA TELEPHONE)

## **APPEARANCES**

For the Plaintiffs:

LEVY, ANGSTREICH, FINNEY, BALDANTE, RUBENSTEIN & COREN STEVEN E. ANGSTREICH, ESQ. 1616 Walnut Street, 18th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

CAPRETZ & ASSOCIATES JAMES T. CAPRETZ, ESQ. 5000 Birch Street, Suite 2500 Newport Beach, California 92660

ZIMMERMAN REED J. GORDON RUDD, JR., ESQ. 651 Nicollet Mall Suite 501 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 For the Defendant:

HALLELAND, LEWIS, NILAN & JOHNSON BRADLEY J. BETLACH, ESQ. 600 Pillsbury Center South 220 South Sixth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

REED, SMITH, CROSBY, HEAFEY STEVEN M. KOHN, ESQ. DAVID E. STANLEY, ESQ. 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900 Los Angeles, California 90071

LIZ PORTER, ESQ.

Court Reporter:

KRISTINE MOUSSEAU, CRR-RPR 1005 United States Courthouse 300 Fourth Street South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415 (612) 664-5106

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

1 10:13 A.M. 2 (In chambers via telephone.) 3 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 4 MR. ANGSTREICH: Good morning. 5 THE COURT: For the record, this is civil case 6 number 01-1396, In Re: St. Jude Medical, Incorporated, Silzone Heart Valves Products Liability Litigation, and 8 let's have counsel note appearances for the record. 9 First for the plaintiffs? 10 MR. CAPRETZ: Jim Capretz for the class. 11 MR. ANGSTREICH: Steve Angstreich for the class. 12 MR. RUDD: Gordon Rudd. 13 MR. KOHN: Steven Kohn for St. Jude Medical. 14 MR. STANLEY: David Stanley for St. Jude Medical. 15 MR. BETLACH: Brad Betlach, St. Jude Medical. 16 MS. PORTER: And Liz Porter, in-house at 17 St. Jude. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, everyone. 19 to hear your voices today. 20 MS. PORTER: Good morning. 2.1 THE COURT: I would like to discuss briefly this 22 morning where we stand. My records show four remaining 23 open cases in the MDL, and do we have a report on where 24 things are at? I would like to try to get together a plan 25 for wrapping things up at this point in time.

MR. ANGSTREICH: Your Honor, this is Steve

Angstreich. Of the four open cases, Les Grovatt is one of
them. We have begun the discussions in an effort to see if
that can be resolved. I will be speaking with Mr. Stanley
after our call in the hopes that that can be resolved.

That would leave us with, I believe, the cases that
Mr. Capretz has.

2.1

MR. CAPRETZ: Yes, Your Honor, and the Redden case, I don't know if you have them listed somewhere, but we had a communication.

THE COURT: I have Bailey, Sanchez and then this newer one, Lesperance.

MR. CAPRETZ: Yes. And there is one that, Redden is a Louisiana claimant, and we thought we had dismissed that. We being our office, but it was a related case called Irwin Fabre, which was also a Louisiana claimant, and there was a stipulation for dismissal of that case I think maybe '05 or so.

But Mr. Redden we had lost communication with, and we are trying now to reach him and see what his status is, and we haven't heard any further word for some time. So we assume that there has not been any issue with the Silzone valve. If that's the case, then we're going to be recommending dismissal if there is no claim there. We have to review the medical situation, but to the best of our

knowledge, there has been nothing developed in that regard.

2.1

Bailey has settled, and the document has been signed, but the consideration is not yet received. Once received, that case will be dismissed. Sanchez is in negotiations, and we're waiting for further discussions with Mr. Sanchez, perhaps negotiations, to see if we can get that resolved.

The final one is Lesperance. That's one that was filed relatively recently. I don't recall when, but maybe within six months to a year, and we have made a settlement demand on St. Jude Medical as of early October. We have not heard back yet, and that's the portfolio of cases remaining.

MR. KOHN: Your Honor, this is Steve Kohn. On the Lesperance case, we're still in the process of gathering the medical records, and it will be probably another 30 days or more before we're able to respond to the settlement demand.

That's a case that was filed in your court, Your Honor. So I'm not quite clear whether that's in the MDL or out of the MDL, but it's a case that is venued in the District of Minnesota. The other case we were talking about, the Sanchez case, I believe the home jurisdiction is Colorado. So in the event that case isn't dismissed or resolved, it probably ought to be remanded.

1 THE COURT: And what is the timing on the Sanchez 2 case? Mr. Capretz, you said that there were negotiations 3 going on? MR. CAPRETZ: Yes, Your Honor. These, these 4 5 pickup cases, except for Lesperance, are not of the same 6 value as the ones that have previously settled. So we're trying to just clean up. I would almost categorize it as 8 housekeeping, and, you know, we're working to get it 9 resolved. I'm hopeful that we'll be able to. It's just a 10 11 matter of communicating effectively with the client. 12 THE COURT: Okay. MR. ANGSTREICH: Your Honor, this is Steve 13 14 Angstreich again. There are three cases pending in Ramsey 15 County. All three are in settlement negotiations. 16 the standpoint of the MDL, the only relevance of those 17 cases is for the MDL assessment. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And they're in discussions 19 right now, Mr. Angstreich? 20 MR. ANGSTREICH: Yes, they all are. 2.1 THE COURT: Okay. And Grovatt is being discussed 22 at this point, too, Mr. Kohn or Mr. Stanley? 2.3 MR. STANLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. And --25 MR. ANGSTREICH: And, Your Honor, if we can't

come to an understanding, that case would then need to be remanded to New Jersey.

THE COURT: Okay. But for all practical purposes, Bailey is settled, is that correct?

2.1

MR. KOHN: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you say, Mr. Capretz, you're waiting for the payment on it? Is that where that's at?

MR. CAPRETZ: Well, they, the amount of time has not elapsed that St. Jude has to pay, but I assume they're going to pay it anytime, and you'll get a dismissal shortly thereafter.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. PORTER: It's in process.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure I have a record of an open case for Redden right now. Perhaps, was that, did you say that was dismissed by mistake, Mr. Capretz?

MR. CAPRETZ: No. No. No. If that was dismissed, glory hallelujah, because we thought that's what happened earlier, but St. Jude seemed to think that that case was still on the books. And so we were going back and going to dismiss it, but our recollection in our office was that it had been dismissed earlier.

So if you have no record of it or the records reflect that it has been dismissed, then that's the end of

1 it.

2.1

MR. STANLEY: Your Honor, this is David Stanley. When that case was originally filed, both Mr. Fabre and Mr. Redden were plaintiffs in a single case. So it was just one case with two plaintiffs. So it's possible that the entire case got dismissed, but nobody is really sure at this point whether the dismissal was only filed on behalf of Mr. Fabre.

That's what needs to be tracked down.

MR. CAPRETZ: That's correct. We signed a stipulation to dismiss Fabre, and I don't know if it included that, but if the court records are clear, then we should be all right. If not, we'll continue to pursue trying to locate Mr. Redden.

THE COURT: Well, we will check our records.

It's not coming up on a run-through of all of the cases.

So I might suggest that it was dismissed along with the other plaintiff, but we'll check on that, and Holly will get back to you.

MR. CAPRETZ: Okay. Very good.

THE COURT: Okay. So looking down the road here with negotiations going on and some of these additional documents in the Lesperance case, what are we thinking about for an end time here for these, either settle or not, and be remanded?

MR. CAPRETZ: Your Honor, this is Capretz speaking. I think by the end of the year would be appropriate from my perspective as far as the ones we're working on, and the only other issue that we had talked about before you had engaged us on the phone was just costs, and we still have that to resolve, but there is no problem that I know of.

2.1

It's just a question of paying into the fund and then allocating the funds, the plaintiffs, amongst the various firms. So that's the only other issue, and we were talking about it probably is time to wind down this MDL.

THE COURT: Mr. Stanley or Mr. Kohn, do you have anything else on that?

MR. KOHN: I would agree with Mr. Capretz that the Lesperance case probably by the end of the year we're going to know one way or the other whether it can be resolved, and in the event it can't be resolved, it seems to me it's going to remain in your court, Your Honor, and so it really wouldn't impact the MDL per se.

THE COURT: Yeah. I don't know whether that's technically in the MDL or not. We have it listed as such, but it may not be. It was filed in '08, and we'll do some checking to see whether that technically is in the MDL or not. It might not be.

Okay. Well, why don't we plan on another

1	telephone conference say the first week in January or
2	somewhere around that period of time. Does that sound
3	okay?
4	MR. ANGSTREICH: That sounds fine, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: And then we will see what issues
6	remain at that point in time?
7	MR. KOHN: Yes, I agree.
8	MR. ANGSTREICH: Yes.
9	MR. CAPRETZ: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else we need to talk
11	about today?
12	MR. ANGSTREICH: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
13	MR. CAPRETZ: I would like to ask one thing while
14	we have the folks on the line. This is Capretz. If
15	St. Jude would give me permission to talk to the Court,
16	we're involved in a think tank in Santa Monica, and the
17	Court's name came up in a conversation meeting this past
18	week, and I would like permission to talk to you about
19	possible involvement on a civil justice project, if that's
20	all right.
21	St. Jude, do you have any problem with me talking
22	to the judge about that matter?
23	MR. KOHN: This is Steve Kohn. I don't have any
24	concerns about it.
25	MR. ANGSTREICH: Neither do I.

1	THE COURT: That's fine, Mr. Capretz.
2	MR. CAPRETZ: All right. Thank you.
3	MR. ANGSTREICH: And, David, I'll call you back.
4	MR. STANLEY: Okay.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, everyone. We'll be
6	in touch about a time probably first week in January.
7	Okay?
8	MR. ANGSTREICH: Very good.
9	MR. CAPRETZ: All right.
10	MR. KOHN: All right.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
12	* * *
13	I, Kristine Mousseau, certify that the foregoing
14	is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
15	the above-entitled matter.
16	
17	
18	
19	Certified by: <u>s/ Kristine Mousseau, CRR-RPR</u> Kristine Mousseau, CRR-RPR
20	REISCHIC Housseau, CIRC REIC
21	
22	
23	
24	
) E	